

Direct Strong \mathcal{H}_∞ Norm Computation for SISO Time-Delay Systems

S. Gumussoy and W. Michiels,

Department of Computer Science, K. U. Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200A, 3001, Heverlee, Belgium
{suat.gumussoy, wim.michiels}@cs.kuleuven.be

Keywords: strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm, time-delay systems, SISO, numerical method.

Extended Abstract

The availability of robust methods to compute \mathcal{H}_∞ norms is essential in a computer aided control system design [7]. The computation of \mathcal{H}_∞ norm for finite dimensional plants is based on the relation between the intersections of the singular value curves corresponding to the transfer function with a given constant function and the existence of imaginary axis eigenvalues of a Hamiltonian matrix [4]. Based on this relation, the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm can be computed via the well-known level set methods: a bisection based algorithm is described in [4] and quadratically converging algorithms in [1, 2]. The predictor-corrector algorithm for the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm in [6] extends level set methods to a class of infinite-dimensional time-delay systems.

Recently in [5], we analyzed the properties of the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of general time-delay systems. We illustrated that the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm may be sensitive with respect to arbitrarily small delay perturbations. Due to this sensitivity, we introduced the *strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm* which explicitly takes into account small delay perturbations, inevitable in any practical control application. A numerical algorithm to compute the strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm for time-delay systems based on a level set approach is also described in [5].

Level set based methods for computing \mathcal{H}_∞ norms are inherently iterative, as they rely on the repeated computation of intersections of singular value curves with a constant function, whose value eventually converges to the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm. In this work we describe an alternative numerical algorithm for computing the strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of Single-Input-Single-Output (SISO) time-delay systems, which is not iterative, hence, the norm is directly computed in one step.

We consider stable SISO time-delay systems with the following transfer function representation,

$$G(s) = \left(C_0 + \sum_{i_c=1}^{n_c} C_{i_c} e^{-s\tau_{i_c}^c} \right) \left(sI - A_0 - \sum_{i_a=1}^{n_a} A_{i_a} e^{-s\tau_{i_a}^a} \right)^{-1} \left(B_0 + \sum_{i_b=1}^{n_b} B_{i_b} e^{-s\tau_{i_b}^b} \right) + \left(D_0 + \sum_{i_d=1}^{n_d} D_{i_d} e^{-s\tau_{i_d}^d} \right)$$

where upper case variables are real valued system matrices with appropriate dimensions and variables denoted by τ are system delays, nonnegative real numbers. By defining slack variables, we reformulate the transfer function of the SISO time-delay system as

$$G(s) = C \left(sE - \tilde{A}_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{A}_i e^{-s\tau_i} \right)^{-1} B$$

where $\tilde{A}_i, i = 1, \dots, n, B, C, D, E$ are real valued system matrices and $\tau_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are system time-delays, nonnegative real numbers.

It is shown in [5] that the strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of the transfer function is the maximum of the strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of the so-called asymptotic transfer function of G and the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of G . We illustrate that the strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of the asymptotic transfer function is equal to $\gamma_a = \sum_{i_d=0}^{n_d} |D_{i_d}|$. Subsequently, we show that the derivative of the $G(-s)G(s)$ w.r.t. s should be equal to zero at the local maximizers and minimizers of the curve $j\omega \mapsto \sigma_1(G(j\omega))$, including the frequency $s = j\omega_o$ where the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of G is achieved. Therefore we have to

compute the imaginary axis zeros of the transfer function

$$G'(-s)G(s) + G(-s)G'(s) \tag{1}$$

on an interval $\omega \in [0, \omega_{\max}]$ where ω_{\max} is the maximum frequency at which the singular value of the transfer function G is equal to γ_a , i.e., $\sigma(G(j\omega_{\max})) = \gamma_a$. We compute these imaginary axis zeros as solutions of an associated eigenvalue problem using spectral methods, [3]. If there is no such zero, then the strong \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of G is equal to γ_a , otherwise it is equal to the maximum of the transfer function over the computed imaginary axis zeros.

In contrast to the existing numerical algorithm for time-delay systems [6], the algorithm is not an iterative but a direct method, as it relies on the direct computation of the extrema in the singular values curve corresponding to the transfer function.

References

- [1] Bruinsma, N.A., Steinbuch, M., "A fast algorithm to compute the \mathcal{H}_∞ -norm of a transfer function matrix", *Systems & Control Letters*, Vol. 14, (1990), pp. 287–293.
- [2] Boyd, S., Balakrishnan, V., "A regularity result for the singular values of a transfer matrix and a quadratically convergent algorithm for computing its \mathcal{L}_∞ -norm", *Systems & Control Letters*, Vol. 15, (1990), pp. 1–7.
- [3] Breda, D., Maset, S., Vermiglio, R., "Pseudospectral approximation of eigenvalues of derivative operators with non-local boundary conditions", *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, Vol. 56, No. 3-4, (2006), pp. 318-331.
- [4] Byers, R., "A bisection method for measuring the distance of a stable matrix to the unstable matrices", *SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing*, Vol. 9, No. 3 (1988), pp. 875–881.
- [5] Gumussoy, S., Michiels, W., "Fixed-Order H-infinity Control for Interconnected Systems using Delay Differential Algebraic Equations", *TW Reports, TW579*, Department of Computer Science, K.U.Leuven, (2010), pp. 1–6.
- [6] Michiels, W., Gumussoy, S., "Characterization and computation of H-infinity norms of time-delay systems", *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 31, No. 4 (2010), pp. 2093-2115.
- [7] Zhou, K., Doyle, J.C., Glover, K., *Robust and optimal control*, Prentice Hall (1995).