

Fixed-Order H-infinity Optimization of Time-Delay Systems

Suat Gumussoy, Wim Michiels
Department of Computer Science
K. U. Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200A 3001 Heverlee
Belgium

Email: {suat.gumussoy,wim.michiels}@cs.kuleuven.be

1 Abstract

We consider the \mathcal{L}_∞ or \mathcal{H}_∞ norm optimization of the transfer function G ,

$$G(j\omega, x) = C(x) \left(j\omega E - A_0(x) - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i(x) e^{-j\omega\tau_i} \right)^{-1} B(x) + D(x) e^{-j\omega\tau_0} \quad (1)$$

where the system matrices (A_i, B, C, D) , $i = 0, \dots, m$ are real-valued, depending on fixed number of parameters $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$, and where the time delays, (τ_0, \dots, τ_m) , are nonnegative real numbers.

This type of problems is encountered in control problems such as the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm optimization or pseudospectral abscissa optimization of time-delay systems using fixed structure controllers.

Let the plant have the following state-space representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_p(t) &= A_0 x_p(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m A_i x_p(t - \tau_i) + B_1 w(t) + B_2 u(t - \tau_0), \\ z(t) &= C_1 x_p(t) + D_{11} w(t), \\ y(t) &= C_2 x_p(t) + D_{22} u(t - \tau_0), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and let the dynamic controller be represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_K(t) &= A_K x_K(t) + B_K y(t), \\ u(t) &= C_K x_K(t) + D_K y(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the system matrices are real valued with appropriate dimensions. The connection between the plant (2) and the controller (3) leads to a transfer function from w to z of the form (1) where the controller parameters x represents the elements of the matrices (A_K, B_K, C_K, D_K) .

We optimize the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm of G over the feasible design parameters in two steps:

- finding a point in the parameter space stabilizing the time-delay system G . This is done by minimizing the spectral abscissa of G . The resulting controller of the stabilization step is used as an initial point for the \mathcal{H}_∞ optimization step,

- directly minimizing \mathcal{H}_∞ norm over the parameter space. Since the algorithm is monotonically decreasing, it is guaranteed that the feasible set in the parameter space, where G is stable, is not left.

In both cases the objective function is nonsmooth, but differentiable almost everywhere. The optimization is done with the algorithm [1], which relies on the computation of the objective function and its gradient (where it exists).

This approach has been successfully applied in the finite dimensional case [2]. We present the extension of this approach to time-delay systems. The \mathcal{H}_∞ optimization requires the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm computation of G given the fixed parameters x . This computation is based on the connection between the singular values of a transfer function G and the imaginary axis eigenvalues of an infinite dimensional operator \mathcal{L}_ξ . Using a spectral method this operator is discretized, leading to an approximation of the \mathcal{H}_∞ norm. Finally the approximate results are corrected by solving a set of equations which are obtained from the reformulation of the eigenvalue problem for \mathcal{L}_ξ as a finite dimensional nonlinear eigenvalue problem.

The computation or optimization of pseudospectral abscissa can be done in a similar way.

References

- [1] J. V. Burke, A. S. Lewis and M. L. Overton, "A robust gradient sampling algorithm for nonsmooth, nonconvex optimization, SIAM Journal on Optimization, vol.15, pp.751-779, 2005.
- [2] S. Gumussoy and M. L. Overton, "Fixed-Order H-infinity Controller Design via HIFOO, a Specialized Nonsmooth Optimization Package," Proceedings of American Control Conference, pp. 2750-2754, 2008.